

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 -----oo0oo-----

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

NO. CR. S-99-0433 WBS

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

ORDER

14 HOANG AI LE, et. al.

15 Defendants.

16 -----oo0oo-----

17 In the court's Order, filed February 14, 2003,
18 regarding defendant Hoang Ai Le's motion to suppress evidence
19 from wiretaps, the court inadvertently failed to mention the
20 joinder of some defendants in the motion. The court understands
21 that co-defendants John That Luong, Thy Chann, and Minh Huynh
22 formally joined in the motion and that all other defendants, with
23 the exception of Bao Lu, joined informally in the motion.
24 Accordingly, for the reasons stated in the court's Order of
25 February 14, 2003, the motion is denied as to all other
26 defendants who have joined in it.

27 ///

28 ///

1 IT IS SO ORDERED.

2 DATED: February 21, 2003

3

4

WILLIAM B. SHUBB
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28